IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

-vs- Criminal Action No.

19-00315-01/02, 04, 08, 10-CR-W-DGK

LADELE D. SMITH (1), ROY O. FRANKLN, JR. (2), DAVID J. DUNCAN, IV (4), CORY T. BROWN (8), and GARY O. TOOMBS (10).

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OF MATTERS DISCUSSED AND ACTION TAKEN AT PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

The following matters were discussed and action taken during the pretrial conference:

PENDING CHARGES: The Superseding Indictment (Doc. 234) contains the following

charges:

Defendant Smith: Counts 1- 3, 6-18
Defendant Franklin: Counts 1-2, 6-8, 19-24
Defendant Duncan: Counts 1, 6-7, 25-26, 35-39

Defendant Brown: Count 1 Defendant Toombs: Counts 1-2, 8

TRIAL COUNSEL:

Government: Ashleigh Ragner & Mary Kate Butterfield
Case Agent: Special Agent Doug McKelway (FBI)

Defendant Smith: Angela Hasty

Defendant Franklin: Justin Johnston
Defendant Duncan: Joshua Adams, Quinn Michaelis & Vincent Rivera
Defendant Brown: Ellen Michaels, Lisa Dwyer & Marilyn Keller

Defendant Toombs: Lance Haley

OUTSTANDING MOTIONS:

Defendant Smith: Daubert motion to exclude testimony of Timothy Flohrschutz (Doc. 640)

Motion to suppress wiretap evidence (Doc. 667)

Motion to suppress social media records (Doc. 668)

Defendant Franklin: Daubert motion to exclude testimony of Timothy Flohrschutz (Doc. 611)

Motion to suppress wiretap evidence (Doc. 647)

Motion to suppress social media records (Doc. 648)

Defendant Duncan: None

Defendant Brown: Motion to suppress (Doc. 593), R&R filed 7/20/22 (Doc. 694)

Motion in limine (Doc. 700)

Defendant Toombs: None

ANTICIPATED MOTIONS: All defendants plan to file motions in limine.

TRIAL WITNESSES:

Government: 60 with stipulations; 80 without stipulations Defendant Smith: 1-5 witnesses; Defendant will not testify Defendant Franklin: 5-8 witnesses; Defendant may testify Defendant Duncan: 1-3 witnesses; Defendant may testify Defendant Brown: 1-2 witnesses; Defendant will not testify Defendant Toombs: 1-3 witnesses; Defendant may testify

TRIAL EXHIBITS:

Government: 700 exhibits
Defendant Smith: 15 exhibits
Defendant Franklin: 20-30 exhibits
Defendant Duncan: 20-30 exhibits
Defendant Brown: 10-20 exhibits
Defendant Toombs: 10 exhibits

DEFENSES:

 (x) defense of general denial () defenses of general denial and Defendant Franklin: (x) defense of general denial 	dant Smith:
Defendant Franklin:	defense of general denial
	defenses of general denial and
(x) defense of general denial	dant Franklin:
	defense of general denial
() defenses of general denial and	defenses of general denial and
Defendant Duncan:	dant Duncan:
(x) defense of general denial	defense of general denial
() defenses of general denial and	
Defendant Brown:	dant Brown:
(x) defense of general denial	defense of general denial
() defenses of general denial and	~
Defendant Toombs:	dant Toombs:
(x) defense of general denial	defense of general denial
() defenses of general denial and	

POSSIBLE DISPOSITION:	
Defendant Smith:	
(x) Definitely for trial	() Possibly for trial
() Motion to continue to be filed	() Likely a plea will be worked out
Defendant Franklin:	
(x) Definitely for trial	() Possibly for trial
() Motion to continue to be filed	() Likely a plea will be worked out
Defendant Duncan:	
(x) Definitely for trial	() Possibly for trial
() Motion to continue to be filed	() Likely a plea will be worked out
Defendant Brown:	
(x) Definitely for trial	() Possibly for trial
() Motion to continue to be filed	() Likely a plea will be worked out
Defendant Toombs:	
(x) Definitely for trial	() Possibly for trial
() Motion to continue to be filed	() Likely a plea will be worked out
TRIAL TIME: 3 weeks Government's case including jury selection	on: 2 weeks
Defendant Smith's case: ½ - 1 day	
Defendant Franklin's case: ½ - 1 day	
Defendant Duncan's case: 1/2 day	
Defendant Brown's case: 1 day	
Defendant Toombs' case: 1/2 day	
STIPULATIONS: The Government plans to pr	ropose stipulations regarding chemistry, busines
records, chain of custody, foundation only as it pe	ertains to wire intercepts, and foundation as to al
exhibits generally.	
Defendant Smith:	
(x) not likely	
() not appropriate	
() likely as to:	
() chain of custody	
() chemist's reports	
() prior felony conviction	
() interstate nexus of firearm	
() other:	

	ndant Franklin:		
()	not likely		
()	not appropriate		
(x)	not likely not appropriate likely as to:		
	() chain of custody		
	() chemist's reports		
	() prior felony conviction		
	 () chain of custody () chemist's reports () prior felony conviction () interstate nexus of firearm 		
	(x) foundation		
Defer	ndant Duncan:		
() not appropriate		
 () not likely () not appropriate (x) likely as to: 			
` /	 () chain of custody () chemist's reports () prior felony conviction () interstate nexus of firearm 		
	() chemist's reports		
	() prior felony conviction		
	() interstate nexus of firearm		
	(x) foundation		
Defer	ndant Brown:		
()	not likely		
()	not appropriate		
(x)	not likelynot appropriatelikely as to:		
	 () chain of custody () chemist's reports () prior felony conviction () interstate nexus of firearm 		
	() chemist's reports		
	() prior felony conviction		
	() interstate nexus of firearm		
	(x) foundation		
Defer	ndant Toombs:		
	not likely		
	not appropriate		
(x)	likely as to:		
	() chain of custody		
	() chemist's reports		
	() prior felony conviction		

UNUSUAL QUESTIONS OF LAW: None

FILING DEADLINES:

United States Magistrate Judge